AGENDA
QUALITY, PATIENT CARE AND PATIENT EXPERIENCE COMMITTEE
OF THE EL CAMINO HOSPITAL BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Monday, June 1, 2020 – 5:30pm
El Camino Hospital | 2500 Grant Road, Mountain View, CA 94040

PURSUANT TO STATE OF CALIFORNIA EXECUTIVE ORDER N-29-20 Dated March 18, 2020, EL CAMINO HEALTH WILL NOT BE PROVIDING A PHYSICAL LOCATION FOR THIS MEETING. INSTEAD, THE PUBLIC IS INVITED TO JOIN THE OPEN SESSION MEETING VIA TELECONFERENCE AT 1-866-365-4406 MEETING CODE 9407053#.

PURPOSE: To advise and assist the El Camino Hospital (ECH) Board of Directors (“Board”) in constantly enhancing and enabling a culture of quality and safety at ECH, and to ensure delivery of effective, evidence-based care for all patients. The Quality Committee helps to assure that excellent patient care and exceptional patient experience are attained through monitoring organizational quality and safety measures, leadership development in quality and safety methods and assuring appropriate resource allocation to achieve this purpose.

### AGENDA ITEM | PRESENTED BY | ESTIMATED TIMES
--- | --- | ---
1. CALL TO ORDER/ROLL CALL | Julie Kliger, Quality Committee Chair | 5:30 – 5:32pm

2. POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURES | Julie Kliger, Quality Committee Chair | information 5:32 – 5:33

3. CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS
   Any Committee Member or member of the public may pull an item for discussion before a motion is made.
   Approval
   a. Minutes of the Open Session of the Quality Committee Meeting (05/04/2020)
   Information
   b. FY20 Quality Dashboard
   c. FY20 Pacing Plan
   d. Progress Against FY20 Committee Goals
   e. Hospital Update
   public comment motion required 5:33 – 5:34

4. QUALITY COMMITTEE FOLLOW-UP TRACKING ATTACHMENT 4 | Julie Kliger, Quality Committee Chair | information 5:34 – 5:36

5. REPORT ON BOARD ACTIONS ATTACHMENT 5 | Julie Kliger, Quality Committee Chair | information 5:36 – 5:41

6. PATIENT STORY ATTACHMENT 6 | Cheryl Reinking, RN, CNO | discussion 5:41 – 5:46

7. APPROVE FY21 QUALITY SAFETY EXPERIENCE INCENTIVE GOALS ATTACHMENT 7 | Mark Adams, MD, CMO | public comment motion required 5:46 – 6:01

8. READMISSION DASHBOARD ATTACHMENT 8 | Mark Adams, MD, CMO | discussion 6:01 – 6:11

9. PSI REPORT ATTACHMENT 9 | Mark Adams, MD, CMO | discussion 6:11 – 6:21

10. MEDICAL STAFF CREDENTIALING PROCESS ATTACHMENT 10 | Mark Adams, MD, CMO | discussion 6:21 – 6:36

11. ECHMN QUALITY IMPROVEMENT | Shabnam Husain, MD | discussion

A copy of the agenda for the Regular Meeting will be posted and distributed at least seventy-two (72) hours prior to the meeting. In observance of the Americans with Disabilities Act, please notify us at (650) 988-7504 prior to the meeting so that we may provide the agenda in alternative formats or make disability-related modifications and accommodations.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGENDA ITEM</th>
<th>PRESENTED BY</th>
<th>ESTIMATED TIMES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PROGRAM UPDATE ATTACHMENT 11</td>
<td></td>
<td>6:36 – 7:01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. PUBLIC COMMUNICATION</td>
<td>Julie Kliger, Quality Committee Chair</td>
<td>7:01 – 7:04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. ADJOURN TO CLOSED SESSION</td>
<td>Julie Kliger, Quality Committee Chair</td>
<td>motion required 7:04 – 7:05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURES</td>
<td>Julie Kliger, Quality Committee Chair</td>
<td>information 7:05 – 7:06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. CONSENT CALENDAR</td>
<td>Julie Kliger, Quality Committee Chair</td>
<td>motion required 7:06 – 7:07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approval</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gov’t Code Section 54957.2.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Minutes of the Closed Session of the Quality Committee Meeting (05/04/2020)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Medical Staff Quality Council Minutes (including API Reports)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Health and Safety Code Section 32155 for a report of the Medical Staff; deliberations concerning reports on Medical Staff quality assurance matters:</td>
<td>Mark Adams, MD, CMO</td>
<td>discussion 7:12 – 7:22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Serious Safety Event/Red Alert Report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. ADJOURN TO OPEN SESSION</td>
<td>Julie Kliger, Quality Committee Chair</td>
<td>7:22 – 7:23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. RECONVENE OPEN SESSION/REPORT OUT</td>
<td>Julie Kliger, Quality Committee Chair</td>
<td>information 7:23 – 7:24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To report any required disclosures regarding permissible actions taken during Closed Session.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. CLOSING WRAP UP</td>
<td>Julie Kliger, Quality Committee Chair</td>
<td>discussion 7:24 – 7:29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. ADJOURNMENT</td>
<td>Julie Kliger, Quality Committee Chair</td>
<td>motion required 7:29 – 7:30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Minutes of the Open Session of the 
Quality, Patient Care and Patient Experience Committee 
of the El Camino Hospital Board of Directors 
Monday, May 4, 2020

El Camino Hospital | 2500 Grant Road, Mountain View, CA 94040

### Members Present
- Julie Kliger, Chair**
- George O. Ting, MD, Vice Chair**
- Alyson Falwell**
- Peter C. Fung, MD**
- Jack Po, MD**
- Melora Simon**
- Krutica Sharma, MD**
- Terrigal Burn, MD**
- Linda Teagle, MD
- Imtiaz Qureshi, MD**

**via teleconference

### Members Absent
- Caroline Currie

### Agenda Item | Comments/Discussion | Approvals/Action
--- | --- | ---
1. **CALL TO ORDER/ROLL CALL** | The open session meeting of the Quality, Patient Care and Patient Experience Committee of El Camino Hospital (the “Committee”) was called to order at 5:30pm by Chair Kliger. A verbal roll call was taken. Caroline Currie was absent. Imtiaz Qureshi, MD was not present during roll call, but participated part of the meeting. Linda Teagle attended the meeting in person and all other members were present and participated telephonically. A quorum was present pursuant to State of California Executive Orders N-25-20 dated March 12, 2020 and N-29-20 dated March 18, 2020. | 
2. **POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURES** | Chair Kliger asked if any Committee members had a conflict of interest with any of the items on the agenda. No conflicts were reported. | 
3. **CONSENT CALENDAR** | Chair Kliger asked if any member of the Committee or the public wished to remove an item from the consent calendar. No items were removed.  
**Motion:** To approve the consent calendar: Minutes of the Open Session of the Quality Committee Meeting (04/06/2020); For information: FY20 Quality Dashboard; FY20 PACING Plan, Progress Against FY20 Committee Goals, and Hospital Update  
**Movant:** Ting  
**Second:** Po  
**Ayes:** Falwell, Fung, Kliger, Po, Qureshi, Sharma, Simon, Teagle, Ting  
**Noes:** None  
**Abstentions:** None  
**Absent:** Burn, Currie  
**Recused:** None | Consent Calendar approved

4. **QUALITY COMMITTEE FOLLOW-UP TRACKING** | Chair Kliger asked if any members of the Committee had any questions about the Quality Committee Follow-Up Tracking. None were reported. | 
5. **REPORT ON BOARD ACTIONS** | Chair Kliger asked if any Committee members had any questions about the Report on Board Actions. No questions were reported. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PATIENT STORY</th>
<th>Cheryl Reinking, RN, CNO, presented a patient letter received by the hospital complimenting the staff regarding the care of an emergency department patient who arrived at the hospital with abdominal pain. While most of her experience was positive, she reported an encounter with a phlebotomist who she felt did not treat her with kindness. Ms. Reinking confirmed that the hospital provided the employee with feedback and service training. In response to a committee member’s questions, Ms. Reinking explained that it was an early morning experience and this is an anomaly and not a trend.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BOARD QUALITY AND SAFETY DASHBOARD</td>
<td>Mark Adams, MD, CMO, stated the Board Quality and Safety Dashboard is to be able to provide the board for quick reference on the quality and safety progress of the organization. The dashboard is very resource intensive and will be provided on a quarterly basis to the board as part of the quality report. Chair Kliger stated that she would like to see an explanation of what the impact was, the money we’re losing, and all of the “what” questions. She would also want the plan of corrections to the “what” questions. Ms. Simon recommends that the ‘Equitable’ on the dashboard should come from Length of Stay, Outpatient measurements, etc. In response to a Committee member’s questions, Dr. Adams explained that ethnicity is reported in Epic and is our own real data. He also explained that the state of California does have a breakdown of all ethnicities, and there has not been a much difference on impact from COVID-19 on specific ethnicities. Motion: To approve the Board Quality and Safety Dashboard Movant: Teagle Second: Sharma Ayes: Burn, Falwell, Fung, Kliger, Po, Qureshi, Sharma, Simon, Teagle, Ting Noes: None Abstentions: None Absent: Currie Recused: None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CDI DASHBOARD</td>
<td>Dr. Adams presented the CDI Dashboard which shows how we are assessing the patients and documenting all the relevant aspects of their care. It's very important that the medical records contain all essential information. This dashboard impacts our revenue, statistics, and indices. The hospital is very excited that the query rate from physicians has been high. This could be due to the quality of questions. In response to committee members’ questions, for #6 and 7, Dr. Adams explained that hospital is trying to compare them to the national benchmark percentage and use that as a guideline and not a target. This is separate from coding. This CDI Dashboard is a working dashboard that the team uses and is very physician driven.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CORE MEASURES</td>
<td>Dr. Adams presented the Core Measures. He explained that the Core Measures cannot be changed as CMS sets them. He noted that in each case and as referenced in the packet, the blue is the observed rate and the green is the benchmark. The hospital is generally doing well including number of C-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
sections being below the benchmark, the steroid use is excellent, and blood stream infections in babies are zero.

In response to committee members’ questions, Dr. Adams explained that CMS sets these benchmarks, and they are currently valid.

10. PROPOSED FY21 PACING PLAN

Chair Kliger asked if any member of the Committee had any other comments or questions. No comments were noted.

**Motion:** To approve the FY21 Pacing Plan

- **Movant:** Ting  
- **Second:** Simon  
- **Ayes:** Burn, Falwell, Fung, Kliger, Po, Sharma, Simon, Teagle, Ting  
- **Nones:** None  
- **Abstentions:** None  
- **Absent:** Currie, Qureshi  
- **Recused:** None

11. DISCUSS FY21 ORGANIZATIONAL GOALS

Dr. Adams stated this was brought back to discuss how the committee is going to determine the targets. As referenced in the packet, this is what management has recommended. Dr. Adams stated that the Serious Safety Event (SSE) needs to have at least six (6) months to get the baseline. SSE usually goes up before it comes down. Because it got pushed back due to COVID-19, management has projected a 5 point rating which is a 20% decrease. Readmissions will be recalculated by Premier and does change from year to year. The baseline for the fiscal year will not be received until October. The hospital wants to commit to a 10% improvement.

In response to committee members’ questions, Dr. Adams stated that the hospital is not pushing back on measuring the SSE. It’s the high reliability work and the hospital is putting in place the measures. Those are quite resource intensive as there will need to be training to be done for all employees which costs money to do and don’t have at the moment due to fiscal constraints. Dr. Adams confirmed that hospital is continuing to commit to quality goals.

The Organizational Goals will be brought back to the next meeting for a vote on a recommendation to the Board. There will be no discussion needed unless something changes.

12. PUBLIC COMMUNICATION

There was no public communication.

13. ADJOURN TO CLOSED SESSION

**Motion:** To adjourn to closed session at 6:58pm.

- **Movant:** Ting  
- **Second:** Teagle  
- **Ayes:** Burn, Falwell, Fung, Kliger, Po, Sharma, Simon, Teagle, Ting  
- **Nones:** None  
- **Abstentions:** None  
- **Absent:** Currie, Qureshi  
- **Recused:** None

Adjourned to closed session at 6:58pm

14. AGENDA ITEM 20: RECONVENE OPEN SESSION/ REPORT OUT

Open session was reconvened at 7:33pm. Agenda items 14-19 were covered in closed session. During the closed session the Committee approved the consent calendar: Minutes of the Closed Session of the Quality Committee (04/06/2020) and Medical Staff Credentialing and Privileges Report; and for
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>15. AGENDA ITEM 21: CLOSING WRAP UP</th>
<th>There were no closing comments.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16. AGENDA ITEM 22: ADJOURNMENT</td>
<td><strong>Motion:</strong> To adjourn at 7:37pm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Movant:</strong> Simon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Second:</strong> Teagle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Ayes:</strong> Burn, Falwell, Fung, Kliger, Po, Sharma, Simon, Teagle, Ting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Noes:</strong> None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Abstentions:</strong> Currie &amp; Qureshi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Absent:</strong> None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Recused:</strong> None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Attest as to the approval of the foregoing minutes by the Quality, Patient Care and Patient Experience Committee of El Camino Hospital:

____________________________
Julie Kliger, MPA, BSN
Chair, Quality Committee
To: Quality Committee  
From: Catherine Carson, MPA, BSN, RN, CPHQ  
Sr. Director/Chief Quality Officer  
Date: June 1, 2020  
Subject: FY20 Quality Dashboard for June meeting

**Recommendation(s):** Review and accept the Organizational Goal and Quality Dashboard

**Summary:**
- Provide the Committee with a snapshot of the FY 2020 metrics monthly with trends over time and compared to the actual results from FY 2019 and the FY 2020 goals.
- Annotation is provided to explain actions taken affecting each metric.

1. **Authority:** The Quality Committee of the Board is responsible for the quality and safety of care provided to ECH patients. This dashboard provides oversight on key quality metrics.

2. **Background:** These thirteen (13) metrics were selected for monthly review by this Committee as they reflect the Hospital’s FY 2020 Quality, Efficiency and Service Goals.

3. **Assessment:**
   - Mortality Index is at target for April’s data, and is under target for FYTD, and remains well below 1.0
   - Readmission Index increased for March data and above target for FYTD, and is still below 1.0
   - Continued reduction in ED Throughput metric with April data reflecting December results
   - All HCAHPS metrics are below target for FYTD
   - Only 1 – HAI: 1 CAUTI from LG Acute Rehab. No HAIs across both campuses for April data.
   - SSI back to zero for April and well below target since February 2019
   - PC-02 Primary C/S rate increased for both campuses

4. **Other Reviews:** None

5. **Outcomes:** None

**List of Attachments:** FY20 Quality Dashboard, April data unless otherwise specified - final results

**Suggested Committee Discussion Questions:** None
## Definitions and Additional Information

**FY 20 Organizational Goal and Quality Dashboard Update**

**April 2020 (Unless otherwise specified)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality</th>
<th>Latest month FYTD</th>
<th>FY20 Performance</th>
<th>FY 20 Definiton</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Organizational Goal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality Index Observed/Expected</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Prevalent Standard Risk Calculation Model FY Baseline FY 2020 (Target: 0.74) | | | | Quartil Quality, and Information otherwise defined. (Continued...)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY20 Performance</th>
<th>FY 20 Definiton</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quality</td>
<td>Latest month FYTD</td>
<td>FY20 Performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Organizational Goal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality Index Observed/Expected</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Prevalent Standard Risk Calculation Model FY Baseline FY 2020 (Target: 0.74) | | | | Quartil Quality, and Information otherwise defined. (Continued...)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY20 Performance</th>
<th>FY 20 Definiton</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Patient Throughput - Median Time from Arrival to ED Departure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Latest month</th>
<th>FY20 Performance</th>
<th>FY 20 Definiton</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Organizational Goal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Readmission Index - All Patient All Cause Readmit (Observed/Expected) | | | | Quartil Quality, and Information otherwise defined. (Continued...)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY20 Performance</th>
<th>FY 20 Definiton</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Patient Throughput - Average Minutes from ED Open to Patient Admitted

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Latest month</th>
<th>FY20 Performance</th>
<th>FY 20 Definiton</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Organizational Goal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Readmission Index - All Patient All Cause Readmit (Observed/Expected) | | | | Quartil Quality, and Information otherwise defined. (Continued...)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY20 Performance</th>
<th>FY 20 Definiton</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Patient Throughput - Average Minutes from ED Open to Patient Admitted (excludes Behavioral Health Inpatients and Neuropsych)
### FY 20 Organizational Goal and Quality Dashboard Update

#### April 2020 (Unless otherwise specified)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Latest month FY20</th>
<th>FY20 Target</th>
<th>FY20 Performance</th>
<th>Baseline FY3 Adjust</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Organizational Goal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCAHPS Discharge Information</td>
<td>84.9</td>
<td>87.3</td>
<td>86.3</td>
<td>82.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top Box Rating of Always Date Period: April 2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCAHPS Responsiveness of Staff Domain</td>
<td>65.4</td>
<td>65.9</td>
<td>65.2</td>
<td>67.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top Box Rating of Always Date Period: April 2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCAHPS Likelihood to Recommend</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td>82.9</td>
<td>83.5</td>
<td>84.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top Box Rating of Always Date Period: April 2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Definitions and Additional Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure Name</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Definition Owner</th>
<th>FY 2020 Definition</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HCAHPS Discharge Information Domain Top Box Rating of Always</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCAHPS Responsiveness of Staff Domain Top Box Rating of Always, based</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>on Received Data, Adjusted Samples</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCAHPS Likelihood to Recommend Top Box</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### FY 2020 Definition

- **Definition**: This metric is a trigger for the quarter and fiscal year to date. Significant improvements have been made in our reputation score at both hospitals and in the Mother/Family units in Los Gatos. This metric has seen a slight decline during the COVID-19 pandemic due to variances in patient volume and patient rounding. Discharge instructions alone. We are looking to implement a process that reduces technology in the discharge planning process to overcome this obstacle.

- **Definition**: This metric is below target fiscal year to date as an anticipate. However, limited daily visits in both hospitals are exceeding the goal. The departments visited at both hospitals are below target. However, this metric has generally improved from February to April. Daily rounding / purposeful rounding program is being reviewed in order to improve its efficiency. However, this has been difficult during the COVID-19 pandemic due to staffing issues and patient isolation.

- **Definition**: Likelihood to recommend is an overall patient experience. MotherView embedded questions are exceeding the target and to this date throughout April. However, the COVID-19 pandemic has affected this metric due to the limited ability of our staff to round and connect personally with our patients. This is largely due to severity of staff and patients, patients feeling isolated due to the stay-at-home policy and the uncertainty of testing despite email rounding. We are working on a plan to safely return to name and leader rounding.
**FY 20 Organizational Goal and Quality Dashboard Update**

**April 2020 (Unless otherwise specified)**

**Month to Board Quality Committee:** June, 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure Name</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Definition Owner</th>
<th>FY 2020 Definition</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hospital Acquired Infections</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Line Associated Blood Stream Infection (CLABSI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY20 Performance</td>
<td>Baseline FY20</td>
<td>FY to Target</td>
<td>Trend</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LCL:</td>
<td>UCL:</td>
<td>Goal: Average</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FY20</strong></td>
<td><strong>FY20</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1/314)</td>
<td>(13/66)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FY20</strong></td>
<td><strong>FY20</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1/314)</td>
<td>(13/66)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FY20</strong></td>
<td><strong>FY20</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1/314)</td>
<td>(13/66)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the graph, LCL and UCL are the calculated deviation from the average. LCL and UCL are not to be the same value or equal to zero.
### El Camino Health

**FY 20 Organizational Goal and Quality Dashboard Update**

**Month to Board Quality Committee:** June, 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure Name</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Definition Owner</th>
<th>FY 2020 Definition</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surgical Site Infections (SSI) - Enterprise SSIs Rate: Number of SSIs / Total surgical procedures x 100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# QUALITY, PATIENT CARE, AND PATIENT EXPERIENCE COMMITTEE

## FY20 Pacing Plan

### FY2020 Q1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JULY 2019</th>
<th>AUGUST 5, 2019</th>
<th>SEPTEMBER 9, 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| No Board or Committee Meetings | **Standing Agenda Items:**  
  1. Board Actions  
  2. Consent Calendar  
  3. Progress Against FY19 Committee Goals  
  4. FY20 Quality Dashboard (Discuss - should this be on consent? Only discuss if something outside normal variation? Deeper Dive Quarterly?)  
  5. Hospital Update  
  6. Serious Safety/Red Alert Event as needed | **Standing Agenda Items:**  
  1. Board Actions  
  2. Consent Calendar  
  3. Progress Against FY20 Committee Goals  
  4. Patient Story  
  5. Hospital Update  
  6. Serious Safety/Red Alert Event as needed  
  7. Annual Performance Improvement Reports (rotating departments) |  
|  | Routine Consent Calendar Items:  
  - Approval of Minutes  
  - FY 20 Quality Dashboard  
  - Progress Against FY 2020 Committee Goals  
  - FY 2020 Pacing Plan  
  - Med Staff Quality Council Minutes (Closed Session)  
  - Hospital Update  
  - QC Follow-up Items | **Special Agenda Items:**  
  1. FY19 Quality Dashboard Results (Includes FY19 Org. Incentive Goals)  
  2. LEAN Progress Report  
  3. Q4 FY19 Quarterly Quality and Safety Review  
  4. Physician Engagement  
  5. Committee Recruitment (If needed)  
  6. Who makes up census in the ED?  
  7. Draft Board-level QC reporting  
  8. PSI-90 metrics |  
|  | |  

### FY2020 Q2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OCTOBER 7, 2019</th>
<th>NOVEMBER 4, 2019</th>
<th>DECEMBER 2, 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Standing Agenda Items:**  
  1. Board Actions  
  2. Consent Calendar  
  3. Progress Against FY20 Committee Goals  
  4. Patient Story  
  5. Hospital Update  
  6. Serious Safety/Red Alert Event as needed  
  7. Annual Performance Improvement Reports (rotating departments) | **Standing Agenda Items:**  
  1. Board Actions  
  2. Consent Calendar  
  3. Progress Against FY20 Committee Goals  
  4. Patient Story  
  5. Hospital Update  
  6. Serious Safety/Red Alert Event as needed  
  7. Annual Performance Improvement Reports (rotating departments) | **Standing Agenda Items:**  
  1. Board Actions  
  2. Consent Calendar  
  3. Progress Against FY20 Committee Goals  
  4. Patient Story (Not Positive)  
  5. Hospital Update  
  6. Serious Safety/Red Alert Event as needed  
  7. Annual Performance Improvement Reports (rotate) |  
| **Special Agenda Items:**  
  8. Report on Medical Staff Peer Review Process  
  9. FY20 Org. Goal and Quality Dashboard Metrics  
  10. FY19 Organizational Goal Achievement (M, RA) |  
|  | | **Special Agenda Items:**  
  8. CDI Dashboard  
  9. Core Measures  
  10. Safety Report for the Environment of Care  
  11. Q1 FY20 Quarterly Quality and Safety Review  
|  | |  

### FY2020 Q3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DECEMBER 2, 2019</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Standing Agenda Items:**  
  1. Board Actions  
  2. Consent Calendar  
  3. Progress Against FY20 Committee Goals  
  4. Patient Story (Not Positive)  
  5. Hospital Update  
  6. Serious Safety/Red Alert Event as needed  
  7. Annual Performance Improvement Reports (rotate) |  
| **Special Agenda Items:**  
  8. CDI Dashboard  
  9. Core Measures  
  10. Safety Report for the Environment of Care  
  11. Q1 FY20 Quarterly Quality and Safety Review  

Created April 25, 2019
### QUALITY, PATIENT CARE, AND PATIENT EXPERIENCE COMMITTEE

**FY20 Pacing Plan**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JANUARY 2020</th>
<th>FEBRUARY 3, 2020</th>
<th>MARCH 2, 2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Meeting</td>
<td>Standing Agenda Items:</td>
<td>Standing Agenda Items:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Board Actions</td>
<td>1. Board Actions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Consent Calendar</td>
<td>2. Consent Calendar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Progress Against FY20 Committee Goals</td>
<td>3. Progress Against FY20 Committee Goals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Patient Story (Not Positive)</td>
<td>4. Patient Story</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. Hospital Update</td>
<td>5. Hospital Update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7. Annual Performance Improvement Reports (rotating departments)</td>
<td>7. Annual Performance Improvement Reports (rotating departments)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Special Agenda Items:</td>
<td>Special Agenda Items:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8. Q2 FY20 Quality and Safety Review</td>
<td>8. Proposed FY21 Committee Goals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10. Draft Revised Charter (C&amp;P, Chiefs)</td>
<td>10. Update on Patient and Family Centered Care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11. SVMD Reporting to Quality Committee</td>
<td>11. Update on LEAN Transformation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12. Follow up on PSI 4, 18, 19</td>
<td>12. Goal Attainment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FY2020 Q4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APRIL 6, 2020</th>
<th>MAY 4, 2020</th>
<th>JUNE 1, 2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standing Agenda Items:</td>
<td>Standing Agenda Items:</td>
<td>Standing Agenda Items:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Board Actions</td>
<td>1. Board Actions</td>
<td>1. Board Actions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Consent Calendar</td>
<td>2. Consent Calendar</td>
<td>2. Consent Calendar (Leapfrog)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Serious Safety/Red Alert Event as needed</td>
<td>Special Agenda Items:</td>
<td>Special Agenda Items:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Approve FY21 Committee Goals</td>
<td>10. Q3 FY20 Quality and Safety Review</td>
<td>10. Approve FY21 Organizational Goals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Proposed FY21 Organizational Goals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. QAPI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FY20 COMMITTEE GOALS
Quality, Patient Care and Patient Experience Committee

PURPOSE
The purpose of the Quality, Patient Care and Patient Experience Committee (the "Committee") is to advise and assist the El Camino Hospital (ECH) Hospital Board of Directors ("Board") in constantly enhancing and enabling a culture of quality and safety at ECH, to ensure delivery of effective, evidence-based care for all patients, and to oversee quality outcomes of all services of ECH. The Committee helps to assure that exceptional patient care and patient experiences are attained through monitoring organizational quality and safety measures, leadership development in quality and safety methods, and assuring appropriate resource allocation to achieve this purpose.

STAFF:  Mark Adams, MD, Chief Medical Officer (Executive Sponsor)

The CMO shall serve as the primary staff to support the Committee and is responsible for drafting the Committee meeting agenda for the Committee Chair's consideration. Additional clinical representatives and members of the Executive Team may participate in the meetings upon the recommendation of the Executive Sponsor and at the discretion of the Committee Chair. These may include: the Chiefs/Vice Chiefs of the Medical Staff, physicians, nurses, and members from the community advisory councils, or the community at-large.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GOALS</th>
<th>TIMELINE</th>
<th>METRICS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Review the Hospital's organizational goals and scorecard and</td>
<td>FY19 Achievement and Metrics for FY20 (Q1 FY20) (Complete)</td>
<td>Review management proposals; provide feedback and make recommendations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ensure that those metrics and goals are consistent with the</td>
<td>FY21 Goals (Q3 – Q4) (Complete)</td>
<td>to the Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>strategic plan and set at an appropriate level as they apply to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Alternatively (every other year) review peer review</td>
<td>Q2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>process and medical staff credentialing process; monitor and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>follow through on the recommendations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Review Quality, Patient Care and Patient Experience</td>
<td>FY21 Quality Dashboard (Q1-Q2 proposal; monthly for review and discussion, if needed)</td>
<td>Review reports per timeline – (Complete)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reports and dashboards</td>
<td>CDI Core Measures, PSI-90, Readmissions, Patient Experience (HCAHPS),</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ED Patient Satisfaction (x2 per year)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Leapfrog survey results and VBP calculation reports (annually)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Oversee execution of the Patient and Family-Centered Care plan</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>Review plan and progress; provide feedback to management – (Complete)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and LEAN management activities and cultural transformation work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. All committee members regularly attend and are engaged in</td>
<td>Review quarterly at the end of the meeting (Use Closing Wrap-Up Time)</td>
<td>Attend 2/3 of all meetings in person (Achieved)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>committee meeting preparation and discussions</td>
<td></td>
<td>Actively participate in discussions at each meeting (Achieved)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Monitor the impact of interventions to reduce mortality and</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>Review progress toward meeting quality organizational goals (Ongoing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>readmissions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SUBMITTED BY: Chair: Julie Kliger, MPA, BSN
Executive Sponsor: Mark Adams, MD, CMO Approved by the ECH Board of Directors 6/12/2019
Operations

ECH is one of the first hospitals in the US participating in the TRILUMINATE clinical research study, sponsored by Abbott. The primary purpose of this study is to evaluate safety and effectiveness of the Tricuspid Valve Repair System (TVRS) for treating symptomatic moderate or greater tricuspid regurgitation (TR) in patients currently on medical management and who are deemed appropriate for percutaneous transcatheater intervention.

We began our extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) program as a bridge to receiving a transplant, in the last 45 days. ECMO is a life support machine for patients with a severe and life-threatening illness that stops their heart or lungs from working properly. Patients needing an organ transplant or other care can be placed on ECMO here at ECH and then transferred to another facility that provides those services.

The Los Gatos Infusion Center opened on April 27th.

Our COVID-19 Incident Command Center is now closed and all duties have been distributed within our operations. We will continue to monitor COVID-19 cases in our community and reopen should the need arise.

Facilities

New move-in dates have been established for moving clinical departments into our new Mountain View campus buildings. Clinical departments will move into the Taube Pavilion on June 10th and into the Sobrato Pavilion on June 24th.

Workforce

ECH will continue to fund “kid’s camps” at the Mountain View and Saratoga YMCAs for children ages 3-12 to support our essential staff members through what would have been the end of the normal school year. We also launched an assistance program (funded by $150,000 in donations to the ECH Foundation) to assist our employees who may be struggling financially due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Since May 12, 2020 would have marked Florence Nightingale’s 200th birthday, 2020 is the year of the nurse! We are very proud of Chief Nursing Officer Cheryl Reinking, RN, MSN, NEA-BC who is currently working on her Doctor of Nursing Practice (DNP) at the University of San Francisco. Cheryl’s article, one aspect of her work towards her DNP, “Nurses Transforming Systems of Care: The Bicentennial of Florence Nightingale’s Legacy,” was published in the May 2020 issue of Nursing Management.
Wednesday May 6th marked the beginning of the annual Nurse Week. Due to shelter in place orders, we were unable to celebrate with our original plans for the week; however, we were still able to recognize our staff.

The police and fire departments from jurisdictions throughout the El Camino Healthcare District arrived at the Mountain View campus at 3:30pm on May 6th with lights blazing and created a recognition “parade route” at the main entrance for all of our staff. Nurse week culminated on Tuesday, May 12th with roving cupcake carts delivered to all departments.

**Corporate and Community Health Services**

CONCERN EAP provided 15 webinars attended by 2,000 participants on topics including (1) Managing Anxiety and Stress and (2) Strategies for Remote Workers. Our Community Benefit staff administered a survey questionnaire to FY21 grant applicants to gather supplemental information as it relates to COVID-19 response efforts and engaged in discussions with current grant partners about new and changing community health needs related to the COVID-19 pandemic. We learned that our partners are rapidly pivoting the way they provide grant funded services to their clients and the community.

The South Asian Heart Center is providing virtual programs including TECH (Tuesday Evening Community Huddles) weekly on lifestyle topics, a nutrition workshop for the Aga Khan community (Ismaili branch of Shiite Islam) and a diabetes prevention program.

The Chinese Health Initiative ("CHI") staff coordinated with the ECH Foundation and Chinese community organizations for donations to the COVID-19 Emergency Response Fund. CHI initiated 2 weekly webinar series: (1) Healthy Lifestyle (diet, exercise, lifestyle medicine and emotional health), conducted by registered diетicians, a Lifestyle Medicine physician and a clinical psychologist and (2) a bilingual Qigong demo promoting physical activity.

RoadRunners is still drastically reducing their normal everyday rides to only medical appointments and grocery store runs due to the COVID-19 pandemic. We are still using Lyft for Behavioral Health clients who live out of service area. In March, RoadRunners/Lyft completed 544 rides, but only 157 rides in April.

The Health Library and Resource Center is closed to the public. We are however, taking consultation appointments by telephone for Eldercare, Medicare, Advance Healthcare Directive Assistance, Dietitian, and Pharmacist appointments.
**Marketing and Communications**

We launched an external interim COVID-19 campaign to patients and the community. This weekly e-newsletter featured articles and resources designed to help patients and the larger community during this challenging time. In April, we deployed three, each reaching: 57,000 El Camino Hospital patients, 12,000 SVMD patients, and 40,000 inactive patients (patients who have not had an encounter with us for at least 3 years). Staff continues email communications to SVMD and hospital patients informing them of the availability of virtual doctor visits, drive-thru testing, our enhanced safety precautions, and our respiratory clinic.

The El Camino Health Website has an updated COVID-19 page design with updated FAQs system, quick links, and clearer informational alerts. We also published 21 blog articles supporting HealthPerks and COVID-19 efforts, covering topics such as mental health, at-home activities, primary care services and general health tips.

Our team proactively facilitated several media stories including:

- NBC-11: Live interview with Dr. Lisa Packard on childbirth and COVID-19
- NBC-11: Interview with Brandi Fitzsimmons about grocery offerings in Café for employees (not yet aired)
- MV Voice: Interview with Mark Adams about the resumption of elective surgery (not yet published)
- Los Altos Town Crier: Interview with Kathryn Fisk about the YMCA Child Care Program and support for ECH workers (not yet published)

**Philanthropy**

During period 9 of fiscal year 2020, El Camino Health Foundation secured $2,494,585, bringing the total raised by end of March to $8,778,274, which is 114% of the annual goal. The majority of the period 9 gifts were to the rapidly launched El Camino Health COVID-19 Emergency Response Fund.

**Auxiliary**

Sadly, our Auxillians are still sheltering in place. However, we appreciate them helping us flatten the curve and we look forward to their return!
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Follow Up Item</th>
<th>Date Identified</th>
<th>Owner(s)</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Date Complete</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bring &quot;negative&quot; (not only positive) patient stories for discussion</td>
<td>11/4/2019</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>Noted in Pacing Plan 12/2/19 going forward</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Add control limits to Annual PI Reports</td>
<td>11/4/2019</td>
<td>CC/MA</td>
<td>Will be added to future reports</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Add a discussion around goal attainment to the pacing plan</td>
<td>11/4/2019</td>
<td>CM</td>
<td>Added to 2/3/20 Meeting then moved to 3/2/20 due to full agenda on 2/3/20</td>
<td>3/2/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Look deeper into the system for non-nursing related issues for the patient stories</td>
<td>12/2/2019</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Cover Memos - Make sure to state what the staff wants from the committee/how the committee can be helpful and provide discussion questions</td>
<td>12/2/2019</td>
<td>Executive Team</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Provide more trending information on readmissions data</td>
<td>12/2/2019</td>
<td>CC/MA</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Follow-Up on PSI 4, 18 and 19: 1. % breakdown by ethnicity, and %age of this population that met the harm criteria (this way we can get a numerator and denominator for ethnic population) 2. % breakdown by low protein/vegan diets, and %age of this population that met the harm criteria (this way we can get a numerator and denominator for diet-based population)</td>
<td>12/2/2019</td>
<td>CC/MA</td>
<td>On 2/3/20 Agenda; Bring back in August</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Make the charts and graphs easier to read</td>
<td>12/2/2019</td>
<td>CC/MA</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Bring back Revised Board Level Quality Dashboard</td>
<td>3/2/2020</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>on 4/26/20 Agenda</td>
<td>5/4/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Bring Draft of Proposed FY21 Organizational Goals to April Meeting</td>
<td>3/2/2020</td>
<td>MA/CR</td>
<td>on 4/26/20 Agenda</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EL CAMINO HOSPITAL BOARD OF DIRECTORS
COMMITTEE MEETING COVER MEMO

To: Quality Patient Care and Patient Experience Committee
From: Cindy Murphy, Director of Governance Services
Date: June 1, 2020
Subject: Report on Board Actions

Purpose:

To keep the Committee informed with regards to actions taken by the El Camino Hospital and El Camino Healthcare District Boards.

Summary:

1. **Situation**: It is important to keep the Committees informed about Board activity to provide context for Committee work. The list below is not meant to be exhaustive, but includes agenda items the Board voted on that are most likely to be of interest to or pertinent to the work of El Camino Hospital’s Board Advisory Committees.

2. **Authority**: This is being brought to the Committees at the request of the Board and the Committees.

3. **Background**: Since the last Quality Committee meeting, the Hospital Board has met once and the District Board has met once. In addition, since the Board has delegated certain authority to the Compliance and Audit Committee, the Finance Committee and the Executive Compensation Committee those approvals are also noted in this report.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Board/Committee</th>
<th>Meeting Date</th>
<th>Actions (Approvals unless otherwise noted)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ECH Board</td>
<td>May 20, 2020</td>
<td>FY20 Period 9 Financials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Medical Staff Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Imaging Equipment Service Agreements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Revised Investment Policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECHD Board</td>
<td>May 19, 2020</td>
<td>FY20 YTD Financials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Proposed Budget Expense Allocations to ECHD for FY21 (Community Benefit Staff SW&amp;B and Association Memberships)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Resolution 2020-04 Requesting for and Consenting to Consolidation of Election</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Funding for District to Provide COVID-19 Community Testing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Report on Board Actions
June 1, 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Board/Committee</th>
<th>Meeting Date</th>
<th>Actions (Approvals unless otherwise noted)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Finance Committee</td>
<td></td>
<td>- None since last report (Meeting 6/3/20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance and Audit Committee</td>
<td></td>
<td>- None since last report (Meeting 5/21/20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exec. Comp Committee</td>
<td></td>
<td>- None since last report (Meeting 5/28/20)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. **Assessment:** N/A
5. **Other Reviews:** N/A
6. **Outcomes:** N/A

**List of Attachments:** None.

**Suggested Committee Discussion Questions:** None.
Dear Patient Experience Staff,

My name is.

I was admitted to El Camino Health - Mtn. View’s ICU-unit on 3/21/20 and discharged on 3/27/20. I was told that I was among the first COVID-19 patients in the ICU. I know I was the first positive COVID-19 patient for a few of the nurses who helped save my life.

Enclosed are thank you cards for the nurses and doctors who saved me. Some were in the ICU, some in the CCU - “3C” wing where I was later transferred before I was well enough to be discharged.

Being a COVID-19 patient, in complete isolation, scared, lonely, desperate for human contact and compassion, these nurses provided me with comfort, strength, and motivation to continue to fight this awful disease. I heard the smiles in their voices, saw the gentleness in their eyes, despite all the PPE, I felt their care and for some, that extra love and sympathy.

PS 2
I understand that some who cared for me were travel nurses. Please, please make every effort to send them my thank you card. I want them all to know that my family and I are eternally grateful for saving me. Enclosed are postage stamps to help cover costs, please just label the card and send it for me.

During these scary times, I know our nurses and doctors need this love too, to know that there are millions of people who appreciate what they do each day. They are our heroes. Please help me share my gratitude with them. Please make sure they all receive my cards.

Thank you so much,

ICU + CCU - "3C"

- Dr. Kemper
- Dr. Roed
- Covid-19 Patient + Survivor!
EL CAMINO HOSPITAL
COMMITTEE MEETING COVER MEMO

To: Quality Committee of the Board
From: Mark Adams, MD, Chief Medical Officer
Date: June 1, 2020
Subject: FY21 Incentive Goals

Purpose: Review the proposed FY21 Incentive Goals for quality, safety, and experience

Summary:

1. **Situation:** The Board Quality Committee reviews the proposed incentive goals for the upcoming fiscal year, FY21, and recommends to the Board to approve those that apply to quality, safety, and patient experience.

2. **Authority:** This is an area of concern for the governing board as this directly and indirectly impacts the quality and safety of the care delivered to El Camino patients.

3. **Background:** Prior to the beginning of each upcoming fiscal year, the management team performs an assessment of the status of the organization’s progress toward the true north goals of each of the foundational pillars. Based on this assessment, management seeks broad input from the organization’s leadership to develop appropriate strategic goals. Management then curates a selection of key strategic goals which ultimately serve as incentive goals if approved by the compensation committee.

4. **Assessment:** Management is proposing the following quality, safety, and patient experience incentive goals for FY21: Reduction of SSER, Reduction of Readmissions, and Likelihood to Recommend. The Quality Committee has reviewed these goals and they are ready for a motion to recommend to the Board.

5. **Other Reviews:** None

6. **Outcomes:** The Quality Committee will endorse these incentive goals and recommend adoption by the Board of Directors.

List of Attachments: Power Point listing the goals

Suggested Committee Discussion Questions: None
FY21 Quality/Safety and Experience Goals

Mark Adams MD CMO
Cheryl Reinking RN  CNO
June 1, 2020
The Quality Committee reviewed a potential recommendation to the Board to adopt the following FY21 incentive goals:

- Reduction of SSER (serious safety event rate)
- Reduction of readmission index
- Improvement in HEDIS composite score
- Improvement in LTR (likelihood to recommend)
## FY21 Quality Goals
Top Tier Performance with Zero Preventable Harm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>FY21 Target</th>
<th>Why did we select this metric?</th>
<th>Target setting logic / methodology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serious Safety Events</td>
<td>Decrease SSER from ( x ) to ( y ) (expected 4-5/10,000 adjusted patient days)</td>
<td>Key indicator for our HRO journey</td>
<td>Improve by 1/10,000 ad pt days; baseline TBD with at least 6 months of SSE classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital Readmissions</td>
<td>Readmission Index</td>
<td>Key indicator for readmission penalty program, BPCI-A</td>
<td>Interim target to step up to top performer level (premier) in two years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS)</td>
<td>HEDIS aggregate score of the 8-10 measures selected (from ( x ) to ( y ))</td>
<td>Key indicator of quality and safety in the ambulatory area</td>
<td>10% improvement over new hospital baseline assuming April re-measure comparable to 2019 baseline of 3.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# FY21 Service Goals
Exceptional Personalized Experience Always

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>FY21 Target</th>
<th>Why did we select this metric?</th>
<th>Target setting logic / methodology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Likelihood to Recommend</td>
<td>Improved individual (not composite) LTR top box score by y (based on final FY20 #’s in: Inpatient Mother/ Baby Emergency Department Outpatient Surgery Outpatient Services Oncology ECHMD</td>
<td>LTR is the national gold standard to measure patient loyalty, experience, brand loyalty and an overall measure of perceived quality and safety</td>
<td>Methodology for improvement will look at baseline scores and use the Press Ganey calculator as a guide with minimum at 50% of improvers, target at 30% of improvers, and maximum at 10% of improvers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Proposed Fiscal Year 2021 Incentive Goals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STRATEGY</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>GOAL</th>
<th>OBJECTIVES/OUTCOMES</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Measurement Defined</th>
<th>Measurement Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quality and Safety</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
<td>Zero Preventable Harm</td>
<td>Serious Safety Event (SSEs) Rate</td>
<td>FY20 Internal calculation - June 2020 Baseline TBD with &gt;6 mon of SSE classification</td>
<td>Maintain Baseline</td>
<td>FY21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Risk-Adjusted Readmission Index</td>
<td>FY20 Internal calculation - June 2021 Premier Standard Risk Calculation</td>
<td>Improve by 1/10K adj pt days</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS) Composite Score</td>
<td>FY20 Internal calculation - April 2020 Aggregate score of the 8-10 selected measures</td>
<td>Additional 10% improvement over target</td>
<td>FY21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LTR – Inpatient</td>
<td>FY19: 83.4. FY20 YTD Thru April: 82.9 Press Ganey Top 30% of performers: FYTD 85.5</td>
<td>Establish Baseline</td>
<td>FY21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LTR – Emergency Department</td>
<td>FY19: 71.3. FY20 YTD Thru April: 76.8 Press Ganey Top 30% of performers: FYTD 80.8</td>
<td>10% improvement over baseline</td>
<td>FY21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LTR – Outpatient Surgery</td>
<td>FY19: 83.2 OAS CAHPS FY20 YTD Thru April: 84.6 Press Ganey Top 30% of performers: FYTD 86.4</td>
<td>15% improvement over baseline</td>
<td>FY21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LTR – El Camino Health Medical Network</td>
<td>Baseline: 71.9 FY20 Q3: 71.1 NRC Net Promoter 50thile: 78.8</td>
<td>FY21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
<td>Exceptional Personalized Experience, Always</td>
<td>Teams Empowered with Trust and Purpose Management: Overall employee satisfaction on Employee Engagement Survey for El Camino Hospital</td>
<td>ECH results last two surveys: 4.09 and 4.27. Press Ganey 4.33 is 90th percentile for FY20</td>
<td>FY21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>Empowered with Trust and Purpose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FY21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>Sustainable Strength and Vitality</td>
<td>Operating EBIDA</td>
<td>FY19: $160.8M or 16.9%, FY20 YTD P10: $91.7M or 11.7% 100% of rolling forecast model (post Oct ’20 update)</td>
<td>FY21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>Market Relevance and Access</td>
<td>Net Revenue</td>
<td>FY19 - 35,500 FY20 - TBD Financial Data 90% of rolling forecast model (post Oct ’20 update)</td>
<td>FY21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EL CAMINO HOSPITAL
COMMITTEE MEETING COVER MEMO

To: Quality Committee of the Board
From: Catherine Carson, Sr. Director/Chief Quality Officer
Date: June 1, 2020
Subject: YTD FY2020, to end of Q3, 30 Day All Cause, Unplanned Readmission Dashboard

Purpose: To provide data on Readmissions, all payor/all cause through Q3 FY20 (July – March 2020)

Summary:

1. Situation: ECH Organizational goal: Readmission Index, and hospitals incur as penalty under ACA of up to 3% of DRG payments for Readmission rates that are above CMS calculated expected for 7 diagnosis and procedures. Penalty for FFY19 based on actual performance July 2014-June 2017 = 0.36% ($354,500) Readmission Teams are focusing on readmissions in each category. A penalty is assigned to the hospital if any of the 7 categories are above the Expected rate.

2. Authority: Quality Committee of the Board is responsible for oversight of quality & safety.

3. Background: Readmission rates provided the 7 diagnosis groups for FY2017, FY 2018, FY2019 and FYTD 2020 (end of Q3)

4. Assessment: This report provides the detail behind the Readmission Index Organizational goal. The O/E ratio is greater than 1.0 for Diagnosis/procedure for 3 ACA readmission penalty diagnosis: Pneumonia, Stroke, and Total Hip/Knee Arthroplasty.

5. Other Reviews: None

6. Outcomes: None.

List of Attachments: FYTD 2020, to Q3, 30 day All Cause, Unplanned Readmission Dashboard

Suggested Committee Discussion Questions: None
## FY 2020, to End of Q3, 30 Day All-Cause Readmission Dashboard - ACA Dx.

Premier Risk Adjusted, All Payer, All Cause, Unplanned Readmits

Patient Type: Inpatient and Psych

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 2017</th>
<th>FY 2018</th>
<th>FY 2019</th>
<th>FY 2020 to End of Q3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Observed Rate</td>
<td>Expected Rate</td>
<td>O/E Ratio</td>
<td>Observed Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall 7 Dx group</strong></td>
<td>9.08%</td>
<td>9.08%</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>10.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acute Myocardial Infarction (AMI)</strong></td>
<td>7.69%</td>
<td>7.51%</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>7.72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD)</strong></td>
<td>14.14%</td>
<td>16.48%</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>26.97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coronary Artery Bypass Graft (CABG)</strong></td>
<td>11.24%</td>
<td>6.34%</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>4.63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Heart Failure</strong></td>
<td>17.79%</td>
<td>15.89%</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>16.17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pneumonia</strong></td>
<td>10.31%</td>
<td>11.92%</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>12.82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stroke</strong></td>
<td>7.17%</td>
<td>6.58%</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>8.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Hip Arthroplasty and/or Total Knee Arthroplasty</strong></td>
<td>2.06%</td>
<td>2.08%</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.63%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Premier Quality Advisor-Standard CareScience Risk Calculation, All-Cause Hospital-Wide 30-Day Readmission Methodology with Planned Readmission Algorithm v4.0

Population used: CMS Readmissions and Mortality Populations (Historical), FY20

Data run date: 5/15/2020

---

**30 day All cause Unplanned Readmission O/ E**

![30 day All cause Unplanned Readmission O/ E chart]

Report updated: 5/18/20

Data Source: Premier Quality Advisor
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To: Quality Committee of the Board
From: Mark Adams MD CMO
Lisa Packard MD, Maternal Child Health Service Line Medical Director
Date: June 1, 2020
Subject: Patient Safety Indicator (PSI) Scores Q3 FY20 compared to FYTD Q1-Q3

Purpose: To provide an update on the AHRQ Patient Safety Indicators for Q3 FY20.

Summary:

1. **Situation**: The Patient Safety Indicators (PSIs) are a set of indicators providing information on potential in hospital complications and adverse events following surgeries, procedures, and childbirth. These events are amenable to changes in the health care system or provider. The PSIs were developed after a comprehensive literature review, analysis of ICD-10-CM codes, review by a clinician panel, implementation of risk adjustment, and empirical analyses.

2. **Authority**: Quality Committee of the Board is responsible for oversight of quality & safety.

3. **Background**: The PSIs can be used to help hospitals identify potential adverse events that might need further study; provide the opportunity to assess the incidence of adverse events and in-hospital complications using administrative data found in the typical discharge record; include indicators for complications occurring in hospital that may represent patient safety events; and, indicators also have area level analogs designed to detect patient safety events on a regional level.

4. **Assessment**: Each of the PSI are first reviewed and validated by the CDI manager and Coding manager, and are then sent through the Medical Staff’s Peer review process for trending by physician. 7 of the 17 PSIs are over the Premier Mean for Q3 2020; with 5 PSIs with only 1, 2 or 3 patients. These PSIs are: Death in Surgical Pts. with Treatable Complications, Postoperative Acute Kidney Injury Requiring Dialysis, In-hospital fall with Fracture, Postop Respiratory Failure, Unrecognized Abdominopelvic Accidental Puncture or Laceration, OB Trauma Vaginal Delivery with Instrument and OB Trauma Vaginal Delivery without Instrument. The committee has focused on the vaginal trauma PSI’s in the past and requested additional information which will be provided at this meeting.

5. **Other Reviews**: None.

6. **Outcomes**: None.

**List of Attachments**:

1. Patient Safety Indicator (PSI) Scores Q3 FY20 compared to FYTD Q1-Q3 2020.
2. PSI 18 & 19: OB Perineal Laceration Report

**Suggested Committee Discussion Questions**: None
## Patient Safety Indicator Report (AHRQ)
### FY20 Q3 compared to FYTD Q1-Q3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient Safety Indicator</th>
<th>Numerator (FY20, Q3)</th>
<th>Denominator (FY20, Q3)</th>
<th>Rate/1000 (FY20 Q3)</th>
<th>Premier Mean*</th>
<th>Numerator (FY20, Q1-3)</th>
<th>Denominator (FY20, Q1-3)</th>
<th>Rate/1000 (FY20 Q1-3)</th>
<th>Premier Mean*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PSI-02 Death in Low Mortality DRGs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSI-03 Pressure Ulcer</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,979</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5,607</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSI-04 Death in Surgical Pts w Treatable Complications</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>157.89</td>
<td>120.99</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>131.58</td>
<td>120.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSI-06 Iatrogenic Pneumothorax</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,102</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9,405</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSI-07 Central Venous Catheter-Related Blood Stream Infection</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,626</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8,105</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSI-08 In Hospital Fall with Hip Fracture</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2,659</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7,991</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSI-09 Perioperative Hemorrhage or Hematoma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3,170</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSI-10 Postoperative Acute Kidney Injury Requiring Dialysis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,914</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSI-11 Postop Respiratory Failure</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1,569</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>4.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSI-12 Perioperative PE or DVT</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>977</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3,310</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>2.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSI-13 Postop Sepsis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1,899</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>3.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSI-14 Postop Wound Dehiscence</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>985</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSI-15 Unrecognized Abdominopelvic Accidental Puncture or Laceration</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>797</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2,506</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSI-17 Birth Trauma Injury to Neonate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1,013</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3,277</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>4.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSI-18 OB Trauma Vaginal Delivery with Instrument</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>212.12</td>
<td>107.66</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>183.33</td>
<td>107.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSI-19 OB Trauma Vaginal Delivery without Instrument</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>662</td>
<td>22.66</td>
<td>15.45</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2,135</td>
<td>31.38</td>
<td>15.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Count Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient Safety Indicator</th>
<th>Cases (FY20 Q3)</th>
<th>Premier Mean Cases*</th>
<th>Cases (FY20 Q1-3)</th>
<th>Premier Mean Cases*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PSI-05 Retained Surgical Item or Unretrieved Device Fragment</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Quality Advisor 5/20/20
OB Perineal Injury Taskforce Team Members

- Dr. Lisa Packard, Medical Director, OB
- Dr. Linda Teagle, Los Gatos Chief of Staff
- Dr. Alissa Erogbogbo, Vice Chief OB/GYN LG
- Dr. Lynn Gretkowski, Medical Director, OB Hospitalists
- Dr. Dr. Kavitha Raj, Chief OB/GYN MV
- Dr. Marty Halum, OB Anesthesia
- Maria Greulich, Certified Nurse Midwife
- Lin Lee, Certified Nurse Midwife
- Brittney Wood, Certified Nurse Midwife
- Christine Borden, Certified Nurse Midwife
- Ellen Keohane, Nurse Educator OB
- Indira McKay, MV L&D Clinical Manager
- Meriam Signo, Director Patient Care Services LG
- Leslie Vazquez, MCH SL Coordinator, OB Concierge
- Tony Liu, MCH Service Line Operations Specialist
- Heather Freeman, Sr. Director Service Lines
Current State and Problem Analysis

• Analyzed FY19 lacerations, trends
• Developed Epic lacerations report
• Analyzed FY20 performance through April, by volume, by provider, by problem
• Additional chart reviews, literature review, cause analysis

• Countermeasures:
  - Developed process for warm compress, developing documentation
  - Engaged Stanford for education/discussion: May 29
  - Developing nutritional guide for vegetarian/vegan pregnant women
    • South Asian Heart Center partnership
  - Distributing unblinded data and information in May again
  - Vaginal dilator study: summer
  - Exploring episiotomy improvements
  - Individual provider support and follow up
PSI 18 and 19 Performance: Above National Average, Below Santa Clara County Average

National Performance: Patient Safety Indicator Report, Quality Advisor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient Safety Indicator</th>
<th>Numerator (FY20, Q3)</th>
<th>Denominator (FY20, Q3)</th>
<th>Rate/1000 (FY20 Q3)</th>
<th>Premier Mean*</th>
<th>Numerator (FY20, Q1-3)</th>
<th>Denominator (FY20, Q1-3)</th>
<th>Rate/1000 (FY20 Q1-3)</th>
<th>Premier Mean*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PSI-18 OB Trauma Vaginal Delivery with Instrument</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>212.12</td>
<td>107.66</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>183.33</td>
<td>107.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSI-19 OB Trauma Vaginal Delivery without Instrument</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>662</td>
<td>22.66</td>
<td>15.45</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2,135</td>
<td>31.38</td>
<td>15.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

California Performance: CMQCC

- 3rd and 4th degree laceration, all vaginal deliveries, Apr 2019-Mar 2020, peer comparison
Performance Over Time: 2017 Q2 - 2020 Q1

3rd and 4th Degree Laceration, All

- 3rd and 4th Degree Laceration with Instrument
3\textsuperscript{rd} and 4\textsuperscript{th} Degree Lacerations Analysis FY20 thru April

- Total 3\textsuperscript{rd} and 4\textsuperscript{th} degree lacerations: 110
  - 3\textsuperscript{rd} degree: 90\% (99)
  - 4\textsuperscript{th} degree 10\% (11)
- Delivery types
  - Inductions: 34.5\% (38)
  - Spontaneous delivery: 72\% (79)
    - Vaginal breech: 1
- Instruments
  - Vac-assist delivery: 33\% (36)
  - Forceps delivery: 1.8\% (2)
- Episiotomy: 15\% (17)
  - Medial: 11 (10 = 3\textsuperscript{rd} degree)
  - Medial-lateral: 6 (all 3\textsuperscript{rd} degree)
  - No documentation: 9
  - Documented indications for episiotomy:
    - Instrumented Delivery: 9
    - Fetal intolerance of labor: 7
    - Shoulder dystocia: 4
    - Macrosomia: 1
ACOG Practice Bulletin 2016: Prevention and Management of Obstetric Lacerations

• **Strongest risk factors:** based on a 22 study meta-analysis
  - Forceps delivery, **vacuum assisted delivery** (increased if has episiotomy)
  - Increased fetal birth weight

• **Other risk factors:**
  - Primiparity (first baby)
  - **Asian ethnicity**
  - Labor induction or augmentation
  - Epidural
  - Occiput posterior fetal position

• **Recommendations:**
  - **Level A Evidence:**
    - Use **warm perineal compresses intrapartum**
    - **Decrease use of episiotomy, instrumentation**
  - **Level B Evidence:**
    - Perineal massage intrapartum
    - **Consider medial-lateral episiotomy**

---

2016 ACOG Committee Opinion #647:
Limitations of Perineal Lacerations as an Obstetric Quality Measure
*Not recommended as quality measure due to variable definitions, mostly non-modifiable risk factors, and reducing rate likely to result in increased C-sections*
Risk Factor: Asian Ethnicity

- 64% Asian OB population at ECH MV
- 76% of 3\textsuperscript{rd} and 4\textsuperscript{th} degree lacerations at ECH are in the Asian population
- Perineal Body Length:
  - Asian population has shortest perineal body length, therefore the greatest risk for 3\textsuperscript{rd}/4\textsuperscript{th} degree laceration
    - Yeaton-Massey et al., 2015; Deering et al., 2004
ECH MV Asian Population is 4x the California Average
El Camino MV

California MDC

CA Asian/Pacific Islander risk of laceration is 2X higher than the average

- CA overall rate: 2.6%
- CA Asian/Pacific Islander rate: 5.5%
- ECH MV Asian/Pacific Islander rate: 5.4% (89/1659)

ECH performs at average for Asian/Pacific Islander Population
Los Gatos Campus 3rd and 4th degree laceration rate is average, with Asian/Pacific Islander population performing worse, similar to CA

- CA overall rate: 2.6%
- CA Asian/Pacific Islander rate: 5.5%
- ECH LG Asian/Pacific Islander rate: 4.3% (6/139)
Risk Factor: Operative Vaginal Delivery

- Although operative vaginal delivery is a risk factor for laceration, it has not correlated tightly with laceration rate.

- Operative vaginal delivery is used to avoid C/S delivery.
Risk Factors: Episiotomy

- Episiotomy tends to trend more closely with laceration rates.
- ECH episiotomy rate is 1% higher than CA average
- Per our analysis, most are medial (higher risk)
- Opportunity to improve
Initiatives to Decrease OB Trauma

- Review low and high rate providers, learn best practices, support improvement
- Improve use of episiotomy and type; provider support
- Document and track warm compresses use
- Develop recommendations for management of perineum/perineal massage
- Develop nutrition recommendations/support for vegetarian/vegan women
- Trial slow vaginal dilation device intrapartum (NIH trial, Materna, Dr. Azad PI): Summer
- Education and discussion forum with midwives and Stanford OB: May 2020
- Distribute unblinded data and information

- **Target:** Improve MV 3rd and 4th degree laceration with instrument by 15% from 22.2% to 18.9% on MV campus by July 1, 2020; FYTD = 21.6%
EL CAMINO HOSPITAL
COMMITTEE MEETING COVER MEMO

To: Quality Committee of the Board
From: Mark Adams, MD, Chief Medical Officer
Date: June 1, 2020
Subject: Medical Staff Credentialing and Privileging Process

Purpose: Review the process for medical staff membership and privileging

Summary:

1. Situation: The Board Quality Committee desires to assure that only qualified physicians are accepted onto the El Camino Health medical staff

2. Authority: This is an area of concern for the governing board as this directly and indirectly impacts the quality and safety of the care delivered to El Camino Health patients.

3. Background: The Quality Committee periodically reviews medical staff activities such as credentialing, privileging, peer review, and other TJC and CMS regulatory requirements.

4. Assessment: El Camino Health has a rigorous process to assess the eligibility and qualifications of prospective physicians who apply for medical staff membership and privileges.

5. Other Reviews: None

6. Outcomes: The Quality Committee members understand the basic process used by El Camino Health to credential and privilege physicians.

List of Attachments: Power Point summarizing the process

Suggested Committee Discussion Questions:

1. How can we be assured of the quality of physicians joining the medical staff?
2. What are the rights of physicians if denied medical staff membership?
Medical Staff Credentialing Process

Application to include:

• Contact information
• Education
• Work history
• Current CV
• State Licensure
• Board certification
• Malpractice Liability Insurance
• DEA certification
Medical Staff Credentialing Process

Primary Source Verification:

- Verifying training and education through the American Medical Association or the Educational Commission for Foreign Medical Graduates if the applicant was educated outside the United States
- Verifying current medical licensure in the state
- Verifying employment history
- Verifying Medicare sanction information through the OIG sanctions exclusion database
- Querying the National Practitioner Data Bank on closed and settled claims history
Medical Staff Credentialing Process

Primary Source Verification:

- Reviewing any time gaps in education or career (if the applicant has more than a six month period of time when they are not enrolled in a program at a medical teaching institution or employed as a physician, the applicant is asked to provide a detailed explanation on the application)

- Verifying the status of the applicant's privileges at hospitals and other health care facilities as listed on the application
Medical Staff Credentialing Process

• Criminal Background Check
• Peer References
• Malpractice Claims Review (NPDB)
Medical Staff Credentialing Process

• Does the applicant meet the qualifications for medical staff membership specified in the medical staff bylaws
• Graduate of accredited medical school (AAMC – LCME)
• Completion of approved residency (ACGME)
• Board certified or eligible (ABMS)
• If so, next step is consideration of Privileges
Medical Staff Privileges

• Applicant completes privilege request form
• Based on the specialty, this is reviewed by the pertinent department chair
• Core privileges vs specialized privileges considered
• Request then reviewed by the enterprise credentials committee
• Enterprise credentials committee reports to MEC
• MEC reports to Board QC which then recommends approval to the Board of Directors
Medical Staff Privileging

• Provisional Status
• Focused Professional Practice Evaluation
• Proctoring as needed
Medical Staff Privileging

• Provisional Status changed to Active
• Ongoing Professional Practice Evaluation q 8 months to include core competencies
Core Competencies

• Patient care
• Medical Knowledge
• Professionalism
• Systems-based Practice
• Practice-based Learning
• Interpersonal and Communication Skills
Privileging and Credentialing
EL CAMINO HOSPITAL BOARD OF DIRECTORS
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To: Quality, Patient Care and Patient Experience Committee
From: Shabnam Husain, MD, Member, Silicon Valley Medical Development (SVMD) Board of Managers and Co-Chair Silicon Valley Medical Development Quality Improvement Committee
Date: June 1, 2020
Subject: SVMD Quality Improvement Committee Report

Purpose: To provide the first quarterly report on the SVMD Quality Improvement Program to the El Camino Hospital Board’s Quality, Patient Care and Patient Experience Committee.

Summary:

1. **Situation:** Silicon Valley Medical Development is a wholly owned subsidiary of El Camino Hospital. SVMD, under the dba of El Camino Health Medical Network (ECHMN) provides primary and specialty care in five locations plus two urgent care centers. ECHMN contracts via a professional services agreement with two physician groups—El Camino Medical Associates (ECMA) and San Jose Medical Group. The Medical Groups are responsible for providing qualified Providers to staff the clinics and provide clinical care. The Medical Groups are responsible for ensuring the providers satisfy professional standards and qualifications and in collaboration with SVMD develop programs, policies, procedures and committees for utilization management, quality assurance, risk management, peer review and credentialing applicable to the provision of services to Clinic patients. SVMD reports to a Board of Managers that is responsible to oversee operations, financial performance, and quality, safety, and patient experience.

2. **Authority:** The El Camino Hospital (ECH) Corporation is the sole member of SVMD, LLC (dba ECHMN). The Operating Agreement between SVMD and ECH reserves certain authority (“reserved powers”) to ECH and also provides a cadence for SVMD reporting to the ECH Board and its Advisory Committees. The SVMD Board of Managers serves as the governing body for SVMD. SVMD has a Quality Improvement Committee (“QIC”) that provides reports to the SVMD Board of Managers. Going forward, the SVMD Quality Improvement Committee (“QIC”) will also be reporting to the Quality, Patient Care and Patient Experience Committee on a quarterly basis.

3. **Background:** The SVMD organization includes a Quality Improvement Committee along with a Credentialing Committee and a Peer Review Committee. These committees are populated by members of both physician groups, SJMG and ECMA. The El Camino Health (ECH) CMO is an ex officio member of the SVMD Quality Improvement Committee. The Quality Improvement Committee monitors compliance, utilization management, case management, population health management, grievances, risk management, patient safety, credentialing, peer review, patient experience, and network quality performance.

**Assessment:** The QIC has selected 8 leading metrics for 2021 and are provided in Attachment 1. The metrics were chosen based on a review of common top priority metrics across HEDIS and MIPS in addition to the Committee’s perspective priorities for improvement. Data for these measures will come from the Epic electronic medical record.

For Patient Experience, In FY20 SVMD began participating in Real-Time surveys through NRC. Data is received daily and we receive monthly reports on our Net Promoter Score. A target was not established in CY 2020. Our NPS has increased from 70.4 in January to 76.0 in May with an average
of 72.1. In addition, we participated in the voluntary Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems (CAHPS) for MIPS which measures 10 key domains of patients’ experiences of care that are referred to as summary survey measures. The ECHMN score for 2019 was 82.1% which placed us just above the 50th%ile. A score of 93.4 would have been required to achieve the 75th percentile.

4. **Other Reviews**: The SVMD Board of Managers received a Quality Improvement Committee report at its May 22, 2020 meeting.

5. **Outcomes**: The Board Quality Committee will have a better understanding of the structure and processes in place within SVMD to manage and improve quality, safety, and patient experience. In the future, the Quality Committee will receive updated metrics pertaining to those areas on a quarterly basis.

**List of Attachments**

1. SVMD Quality Improvement Committee

**Suggested Committee Discussion Questions:**

1. What questions do the Committee members have about the metrics presented?
2. Are these the right metrics to report to this Committee?
3. Shall we provide a deep dive on the metrics on a rotating basis?
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QUALITY IMPROVEMENT COMMITTEE

Daniel Morgan, MD – Chair and Shabnam Hussain, MD, Co-Chair
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Peer Review Committee
Shabnam Husain, MD - Chair

Members:
Richard Ornelas, MD
Addy Squarer, MD
LaCrista Mazeke-Kelley, MD
Mauro Ruffy, MD
Robert Filuk, MD
Daniel Morgan, MD
Quality Improvement Program Purpose

- To ensure the necessary infrastructure and the formal process needed to coordinate care, promote quality, performance, and efficiency on an ongoing basis.
- The requirements for the QI program are based on the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA), and in compliance with State and Federal regulations.
- The QI Program promotes the accountability of all employees, providers, contracted providers, and any downstream and related entities for the care and service provided to members.
- QI activities are coordinated with other performance monitoring activities and management functions including, but not limited to, compliance, utilization management, case management, population health management, grievances, risk management, patient safety, credentialing, claims, customer services, and network management.
Quality Committee Charter

- Measuring and reporting performance using measurement tools.
- Continuous monitoring and evaluation of clinical and service quality indicators that reflect important aspects of care and service using benchmarks and performance goals.
- Correcting all problems that come to its attention through internal surveillance, complaints or other mechanisms.
- Development of an annual QI Work Plan.
- Implement a program review process for formal evaluation of the impact and effectiveness of the QI Program and Work Plan at least annually.
- Assessing each member’s cultural, linguistic, and health literacy needs and delivering of care and services in a culturally and linguistically appropriate manner to meet each member’s needs.
- Providing timely access and availability of care via a comprehensive provider network that is credentialed and re-credentialed in a timely manner that complies with regulatory requirements.
Quality Committee Charter - cont.

• Ensuring the provider network complies with SVMD medical record standards and evidence-based clinical practice guidelines.

• Implementing corrective actions when care and/or services delivered are identified to be of questionable quality, and monitoring of the effectiveness of the corrective actions.

• Ensuring the participation of contracted providers in the planning, design, implementation and review of QI Program initiatives.

• Complying with contracted Health Plans for audits, overseeing all functions delegated by the contracted Health Plans and performing internal audits.

• Ensuring the QI program addresses contracted Health Plan issued Corrective Action Plans (CAP) when performance does not meet Program expectations.
Quality Committee Achievements – 4/19 to Present

- Developed and established committee charters
- Established committee membership, including physicians both medical groups, ECMA and SJMG
- Developed a Quality Improvement Program
- Developed Quality Indicators Program (QIP)
- Developed the annual Quality Improvement work plan and submitted to payors
- Developed quality management policies and procedures
- Established 8 leading key metrics for 2021 for our patients
- Began real-time patient satisfaction survey through NRC
- Conducted CAHPS for MIPS survey through NRC
- Implemented EPIC for San Jose Medical Group
- Developed reporting capabilities in EPIC to collect and report data
- Submitted MIPS for ECMA
- Granted exception for SJMG MIPS reporting due to system conversion
Peer Review Committee Charter and Achievements

Charter

- Reviews all potential quality issues (PQI) that are referred due to the assigned severity level and assesses the appropriateness of practitioner judgment or decision-making functions
- The peer review process includes direction to conduct additional review steps to determine if the concern is an individual issue or a practice pattern.
- The Peer Review Committee conducts secondary review and may refer cases to a non-affiliated specialty provider for review and recommendation.

Achievements

- Investigated 6 Peer Review Issues
Credentialing Committee Charter and Achievements

Charter

- The Credentialing Committee reviews and recommend the credentialing and recredentialing of all practitioners and organizational providers within SVMD.

Achievements

- Developed credentialing policies and procedures
- Enhanced the credentialing verification software system
- Received delegation status from Aetna and UHC
- Audited all provider credentialing files
- Changed the recredentialing cycle for all providers to every 24 months
- Established process for credentialing approval by the Board
- Credentialed 55 physicians and recredentialed 101 physicians
Hospital Metrics versus Ambulatory Quality Metrics

Hospital Metrics
- Case Mix Index
- Length of Stay
- Readmission Rates

Ambulatory Metrics
- HCC (Hierarchical Condition Category)
- Coordination of Care
- Post Discharge - Office Visit
- Post Discharge – Medication Reconciliation
- Readmission Prevention
Relevant Ambulatory Metrics to SVMD

- Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS)
  - Standardized performance measures that compare health plan performance
  - HEDIS Measures relate to many significant public health issues, such as cancer, heart disease, smoking, asthma and diabetes
  - Health Plans hold medical groups accountable for performance to these metrics

- Hierarchical Condition Category (HCC) is a method of risk stratification used by CMS to determine level of payment for Medicare Advantage Plans
  - Reimbursement is tied to documentation abiding by CMS guidelines
  - Documentation must be correlated to support the submitted diagnosis and indicate the provider’s assessment plan for the management of the condition

- Centers for Medicare and Medicaid (CMS) services Merit-based Incentive Payment System (MIPS) measures
  - The Quality Payment Program ties reimbursement to value and outcomes.
  - Performance is measured through four areas – Quality Improvement Activities, Promoting Interoperability and Cost.
# 8 Leading Metrics Approved by QIC for 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>1st Qtr Performance *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Controlling Blood Pressure to less than 140/90</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes: Hemoglobin A1c Poor Control (&gt;9%)</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documentation of Current Medications</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falls: Screening for Future Fall Risk</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Mass Index (BMI) and Follow Up Plan</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast Cancer Screening</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorectal Cancer Screening</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco Use and Cessation Intervention</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Lower scores can be attributed to immaturity of EPIC data
## El Camino Medical Associates Versus San Jose Medical Group Metrics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>ECMA – Q1 Performance</th>
<th>SJMG – Q1 Performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Controlling Blood Pressure to less than 140/90</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes: Hemoglobin A1c Poor Control (&gt;9%)</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documentation of Current Medications</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falls: Screening for Future Fall Risk</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Mass Index (BMI) and Follow Up Plan</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast Cancer Screening</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorectal Cancer Screening</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco Use and Cessation Intervention</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Patient Experience – Net Promoter Score

NET PROMOTER SCORE

72.1
(n=4,769)

Jan 1    Feb 1    Mar 1    Apr 1    May 1
70.4     71.0     72.3     75.2     76.0

Medical Practice  72.1

NPS

n-size 4,769
## Patient Experience – CAHPS for MIPS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAHPS Dimensions</th>
<th>MIPS/ACO 50th Percentile*</th>
<th>MIPS/ACO 75th Percentile</th>
<th>MIPS/ACO 90th Percentile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using any number from 0 to 10, where 0 is the worst provider possible and 10 is the best provider possible, what number would you use to rate this provider?</td>
<td>81.8</td>
<td>93.4</td>
<td>94.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n-size: 20,510</td>
<td>n-size: 20,510</td>
<td>n-size: 20,510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Key Drivers</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the last 6 months, how often did this provider show respect for what you had to say?</td>
<td>89.5</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>97.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How Well Your Providers Communicate</td>
<td>n-size: 20,441</td>
<td>n-size: 20,441</td>
<td>n-size: 20,441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the last 6 months, how often did this provider listen carefully to you?</td>
<td>86.5</td>
<td>95.2</td>
<td>95.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How Well Your Providers Communicate</td>
<td>n-size: 20,446</td>
<td>n-size: 20,446</td>
<td>n-size: 20,446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the last 6 months, how often did this provider spend enough time with you?</td>
<td>82.7</td>
<td>93.8</td>
<td>94.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How Well Your Providers Communicate</td>
<td>n-size: 20,411</td>
<td>n-size: 20,411</td>
<td>n-size: 20,411</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
QI Projects for the Remainder of 2020

- Monthly quality committee meetings
- Focus on improvement on leading metrics
- Improve patient experience
- Provider and staff education and training
- Improve system for receiving, monitoring and tracking complaints and grievances
- Participate with our contracted payers with quality projects
- Create a provider manual
Board Questions

- Develop a shared understanding of committee(s) charter(s)
- Discuss the frequency, communication and reporting structure
- Discuss quality metrics